# Ars Pharmaceutica

https://revistaseug.ugr.es/index.php/ars E-ISSN: 2340-9894 ISSN: 0004-2927

doi: 10.30827/ars.v62i3.15493 Artículos originales

# Preparation and characterization of topical solid lipid nanoparticles containing Deferoxamine

Preparación y caracterización de nanopartículas lipídicas sólidas tópicas que contienen deferoxamina

Behzad Sharif Makhmalzade<sup>1</sup> (© 0000-0002-1441-3127 Masoud Ali Karami<sup>1</sup> (© 0000-0001-6167-7240 Narges Mosaddegh Rad<sup>1</sup> (© 0000-0000-0000-0000

<sup>1</sup>Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Health Research Institute, Nanotechnology Research Center, Ahvaz, Iran

### Correspondence

Karami Masoud Ali makarami@ajums.ac.ir mmkarami@yahoo.com

Received: 14.06.2020 Accepted: 25.04.2021 Published: 21.06.2021

#### Funding

This study was a part of Pharm. D thesis belonged to Narges Mosadegh Rad and carried out under financial support provided by Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences.

### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### Resumen

**Introducción:** El mesilato de deferoxamina aumenta la transactivación alfa inducible por hipoxia del factor 1 al prevenir el estrés por oxígeno reactivo catalizado por hierro, por lo que puede usarse para mejorar la cicatrización de la úlcera diabética. Este estudio se llevó a cabo para desarrollar y estudiar las propiedades fisicoquímicas de nanopartículas lipídicas sólidas cargadas con deferoxamina tópica.

**Método:** Se prepararon nanopartículas de lípidos sólidos utilizando la técnica de homogeneización en frío y un diseño factorial completo para evaluar el efecto del tipo de tensioactivo y la cantidad de lípidos. Se llevó a cabo la caracterización in vitro de las formulaciones, incluido el tamaño y la distribución de las partículas, el comportamiento térmico mediante calorimetría de barrido diferencial, la eficiencia de atrapamiento y el perfil de liberación.

**Resultados:** Los resultados mostraron un rango aceptable de tamaño de partícula (2,88-174 nm), una distribución de tamaño estrecha y un promedio del 60% para la eficacia de atrapamiento del fármaco, lo que es significativo para un fármaco hidrófilo. Los resultados del estudio de liberación mostraron una liberación de ráfaga inicial seguida de una manera lenta y prolongada. Los resultados de la calorimetría diferencial de barrido también confirmaron los resultados obtenidos de las evaluaciones de carga y liberación. La mejor formulación que tenía un alto nivel de carga de fármaco y la menor tasa de liberación de fármaco contenía compritol y ácido oleico en una cantidad del 8% de la fórmula total, así como tween 80 y lecitina como una mezcla de tensioactivos.

**Conclusiones:** El estudio demostró que el mesilato de deferoxamina podría cargarse en nanopartículas de lípidos sólidos para administrar por vía tópica.

Palabras clave: Mesilato de deferoxamina; Nanopartículas de lípidos sólidos; Entrega de formulación tópica

### Abstract

**Introduction:** Deferoxamine mesylate increases hypoxia inducible factor-1 alpha transactivation by preventing iron-catalyzed reactive oxygen stress, so it can be used to improve diabetic ulcer healing. This study was undertaken to develop and study physicochemical properties of topical deferoxamine-loaded solid lipid nanoparticles.

**Method:** Solid lipid nanoparticles were prepared using cold homogenization technique and full factorial design to evaluate the effect of surfactant type and amount of lipid. In-vitro characterization of formulations including particle size and distribution, thermal behavior using Differential Scanning Calorimetry, entrapment efficiency, and release profile were carried out.

**Results:** The results showed an acceptable range of particle size (2.88–174 nm), a narrow size distribution, and an average of 60% for drug entrapment efficiency which is significant for a hydrophilic drug. The results from release study showed an initial burst release followed by a slow and prolonged manner. Differential Scanning Calorimetry results also confirmed the results obtained from loading and release evaluations. The best formulation which had a high level of drug loading and the lowest drug release rate contained compritol and oleic acid in the amount of 8% of the total formula, as well as tween 80 and lecithin as a mixture of surfactants.

**Conclusions:** The study demonstrated deferoxamine could be loaded in solid lipid nanoparticles to deliver topically.

Keywords: Deferoxamine mesylate; Solid Lipid Nanoparticles; Topical formulation delivery

# Highlights

Deferoxamine can be topically used to improve diabetic ulcer healing due to its capability of iron-chelating and improving angiogenesis. Solid lipid nanoparticles as a novel drug delivery carrier was applied not only to protect the drug from environmental damaging factors, but also to provide a local high concentration and a sustained-release of the drug. In such a way the limitations of oral and injection routes of administration the drug will be eliminated.

# Introduction

Diabetic foot ulcer is one of the most common problems occurred in diabetic patients, leading to significant disability and reduced quality of life such as amputation. Various factors are involved in the pathogenesis and occurrence of diabetic foot ulcers.<sup>(1)</sup> Decreased neovascularization caused by impaired activity of the transcription factor hypoxia inducible factor-1 alpha (HIF-1 $\alpha$ ) is a major factor. Based on the pathogenesis, new methods are needed to effectively manage and treat diabetic wounds. Deferoxamine mesylate [DFO], a strong iron-chelating agent, increases HIF-1 $\alpha$  transactivation by preventing iron-catalyzed reactive oxygen stress<sup>(2)</sup>, so it can be used to improve ulcer healing in diabetic people. It is also one of the rare medicines available at clinic used in iron poisoning to remove excess iron from the body and in thalassemia patients associated with the problem of iron cumulated in the body. Less than 15% of the drug is absorbed through the gastrointestinal tract, so it is used via injection.<sup>(3,4)</sup>

Unfortunately, DFO has two main problems limiting its clinical application in many cases. The first problem is the short plasma half-life (below 90 minutes) that makes it inevitable to be applied in repeated doses or in the form of continuous and long-time injection. Secondly, it may cause acute or chronic poisoning that the latter is more likely to occur due to the necessity of long-term application of the drug in thalassemia and similar patients.<sup>(5)</sup>

On the other hand, its oral dosage form has been studied in which some gastrointestinal side effects such as nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pains have occurred in one third of subjects. Skin rash is also one of the side effects in both injection and oral administrations.<sup>(6,7)</sup> Acute renal failure is the most important considerable side effect of this medicine. In addition, it can be used in diabetic foot ulcer since it is discovered that due to the raise of glucose inside cells, activation of destroying mechanisms, like the production of free radicals, takes place. On this occasion, iron ion as a cofactor, has an important role in the conversion of superoxide free radical to poisonous hydroxyl radical, so avoids the continuation of destructive reactions and improves angiogenesis.<sup>(8,9)</sup>

Taking into account instances mentioned above, seems that by providing and applying topical DFO loaded in solid lipid nanoparticles [SLN], we can produce a high concentration of it on diabetic ulcers in order to both eliminate injection problems and avoid systemic side effects of the drug. Considering the role of skin lipids in the barrier system, lipid-based carriers such as solid lipid nanoparticles can exchange the skin lipid resulting in improving dermal penetration.<sup>(10)</sup>

Solid Lipid Nanoparticles, which were first mentioned in 1991, are colloidal lipid carriers, very much like nanoemulsions, but differing in lipid nature. SLNs are obtained from physiological-like lipids and surfactants which are GRAS (Generally Recognized As Safe), free of toxicity. SLNs have a number of advantages over traditional colloidal systems, such as physical stability, protection of the active substance against chemical degradation, controlled release of the active substance, biocompatibility, selective targeting, absence of organic solvents, and easy to scale up and sterilize.<sup>(11-13)</sup> Solid lipid nanoparticles are attractive not only in the pharmaceutical industries but also in cosmetics and food industries.<sup>(14-16)</sup>

SLNs combine the advantages and avoid the disadvantages of other colloidal carrier systems such as liposomes and polymer nanoparticles. They could prolong the residence time of the dosage form at the absorption site, consequently increasing bioavailability. Controlled drug delivery, enhanced bioavailability of entrapped drugs and/or improved tissue distribution, good tolerability, and drug targeting have been attributed to SLN formulations.<sup>(17)</sup>

Due to such capability of solid lipid nanoparticles in long-term keeping of drug on injured skin and avoiding application of medicine in the form of injection or edible medicine and consequent prevention of attributed side effects, it was aimed to formulate DFO in the form of solid lipid nanoparticles and to study its physicochemical properties such as particle size and size distribution, entrapment efficiency, profile release, thermal behavior. The main objective of this study was to entrap DFO in solid lipid nanoparticles, due to their nature, will remain for a long time on the applied area and will provide a slow-released and long action. Then, it is aimed to optimize the best formulation of the drug to provide conditions for studying on diabetic ulcers in future studies.

# Materials and methods

Deferoxamine mesylate powder was purchased from Tolid Daru company(IR Iran), tween 80, PG, oleic acid, cholesterol, lecithin were obtained from Merck (Germany), span 20 were purchased from Pouyesh Kimia Gostar (IR Iran), cellulose acetate membrane was purchased from Tooba company (IR Iran), and compritol<sup>®</sup> 888 ATO (glyceryl behenate) was a gift from Gattefosse Pharmaceuticals Company (France). All chemicals and solvents were of analytical grade. Fresh double-distilled water was used in the experiments. Minitab16 software was used for experimental design and evaluation of the effect of variables on responses.

### Assay of drug

For measurement, the drug loaded/released from formulations, a standard curve was plotted by preparing 6 solutions in different concentrations of the drug in phosphate buffer, pH=7.4, using a UV-visible spectrophotometer (CE250, CECIL, England) at 220 nm wavelength.

### **Experimental Design**

To evaluate the effect of type of surfactant and type/amount of lipid, full factorial experimental design was applied. Based on this, 16 formulations in triplicate were prepared. The independent variables included the percentage of total lipid phase (%L), Liquid lipid to Solid lipid ratio (LL/SL), type of surfactant, and type of lipid.

### Preparation of deferoxamine-loaded SLNs

SLNs were prepared using an emulsion-congealing technique by cold high-pressure homogenization. The lipid phase consisted of a liquid oil (oleic acid), a solid lipid (cholesterol or comperitol 888 ATO), and a surfactant (Tween 80 + Span 20,1:1, or lecithin); the aqueous phase composed of water and 50 mg DFO. The amounts of lipids and surfactants were varied according to factorial design presented in Table 1. The oil phase was melted at 65°C, added to the aqueous phase at the same temperature and mixed for 3 minutes at speed of 12000 rpm using a high-speed homogenizer (Heidolph, Germany) to obtain a clear medium. Afterward, the mixture was further treated with sonication (90 W for 2 min); the emulsion was then diluted and congealed by adding a mixture of 4:1 water-propylene glycol to reach a final volume of 50 ml. The final concentration of DFO was 1mg/ml according to some studies. Finally, the suspension was passed through a high-pressure homogenizer (Emulsiflex-C3, Avestin, Canada) for 3 cycles (20 seconds for each cycle) at 1000-2000 bars.<sup>(18-20)</sup>

| Variable   | High level                        | Low level                       |  |
|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Lipid      | Cholesterol + oleic acid (90:10)  | Comperitol + oleic acid (90:10) |  |
| %L         | 90% 80%                           |                                 |  |
| Surfactant | Tween 80 + Span 20 (1:1) Lecithin |                                 |  |
| LL/SL      | 20:80                             | 10:90                           |  |

 Table 1. Independent variables and their levels

%L: Percentage of total lipid phase, LL/SL: Liquid lipid to Solid lipid ratio

### Measurement of particle size

Particle size analyzer instrument (Malvern, Master SIZER 2000) was used to evaluate particle size and size distribution. Samples were diluted with a determined double-distilled water.

### Determination of drug entrapment efficiency [EE%]

To do this, 5 ml of each formulation was centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 10 minutes. After separation the particles, they were precisely rinsed with water, and then the amount of unloaded drug was measured in the watery part at 220 nm wavelength. To calculate the entrapment efficiency, the following equation was applied:

# $EE\% = rac{(Total drug used - Unloaded drug) * 100}{Total drug used}$

### Study of release profile of drug

Static Franz Diffusion Cell System was used to determine the profile of release of entrapped drug in nanoparticles. To do this, a predetermined weight of each formulation was put in the donor part of the diffusion cell. Phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, was used as the recipient phase. The donor and the recipient units were separated with cellulose acetate membrane having a cut-off of 10 kDa. The temperature and speed of stirring the recipient phase were adjusted on 37±0.5 °C and 200 rpm, respectively. Sampling was carried out by withdrawal of 2 ml from the recipient phase at time intervals of 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 hours after starting the procedure. After each sampling, 2 ml of phosphate buffer was immediately added into the recipient to remain the volume equable. The cumulative amount of released drug was plotted versus time to obtain the release profile.

### **Thermal Behavior of Nanoparticles**

To study the thermal behavior of nanoparticles, Differential Scanning Calorimetry (METTLER TOLIDO, Switzerland) was used through two profiles including heating (from +10 to +120° C) and cooling (from +30 to -60° C). Phase transitional temperature and enthalpy were determined and analyzed for each formulation.

### **Statistical Methods**

Each formulation was prepared in triplicate, so the total numbers of formulations were 48. To analyze data, the Two-Way Analysis of Variance statistical test (ANOVA) was used to compare characteristics of formulations. In addition, for the study of the relationship between variables and responses, concurrent multiple regression was carried out. P-value equal to or less than 0.05 was considered as significant correlation in all experiments.

### Results

### **Particle size**

The particle size ranged from 2.88 to 174 nm for all formulations as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Particle size for all formulations

The results show that most particles are less than 100 nm, so the method used to prepare formulations had been properly able to produce nanoparticles. However, it has been no significant correlation between variables and particle size. Furthermore, it was found the average of 0.344 for polydispersity index that indicates a narrow size distribution in all formulations regardless particle size.

### **Drug Entrapment Efficiency**

Determination of drug loaded in nanoparticles was assessed for all formulations. Figure 2 shows the drug loading percentage of SLN formulations.



Figure 2. Percentage of drug loading for all formulations

As shown, except formulation No. 2, all other formulations had more than 53% of entrapment efficiency. This means that the contents of formulations were effective on the loading of drug. The average

loading for all formulations was about 60% which is considerable for DFO as a hydrophilic drug. Analysis of regression between variables and %EE identifies a significant correlation between lipid content and drug loaded in particles (p=0.014). Changing the solid lipid from cholesterol to Compritol<sup>\*</sup>, resulted in an increase in the loading percentage. Based on regression analysis, none of the other variables did significantly affect %EE (P>0.05). Compritol, Glyceryl behenate, a high melting point glyceride with a less-ordered crystal lattice, is appropriate for use in dosage forms as a lipid matrix to increase drug entrapment and to modify (sustain or delay) the release of active ingredients.<sup>(21)</sup> It is safe and useful for increasing consistency and thickness for topical formulations. On the other hand, the lowest loading belongs to formulation No. 2 and the highest one belongs to No. 13. The latter includes Compritol and the higher level of LL/SL ratio which agrees with many of reports. According to these reports, the incorporation of liquid oil into solid lipid nanoparticles leads to increase crystal disorder and consequently results in great failure in the lattice and leaves more space to house the drug.<sup>(22,23)</sup>

### Drug release profile

The drug release profile from SLNs is dependent on the preparation temperature, composition of emulsifier, and percentage of oil, especially liquid one, incorporated in the lipid matrix.<sup>(23)</sup>

Since one of the objectives of this study was to provide a slow release formulation to prolong the effect, the two factors %R2h and %R72h were considered as indices for slow-release and sustained-release. The in vitro drug release profiles of SLN formulations consisted of an initial burst release followed by a sustained and slow release. The averages release after 2 and 72 hours were 15.06% and 55.54%, respectively.

Analysis of regression showed that both indices %R2h and %R72h had a significant direct correlation with %L, lipid content, and surfactant type (P=0.001), but a significant indirect correlation with LL/ SL (P=0.001). Except for one, for all other formulations the average of %R2h was 20 percent and some of the formulations had released more than 60 percent of loaded drug after 48 and consequently 72 hours. Such profile is appropriate for a formulation which had been purposed for prolonged drug delivery. Besides, considering the initial burst release and followed by sustained release from formulations, it could be said that the drug is incorporated homogeneously, partially loaded in shell, interfacial and lipid core.<sup>(24)</sup> This is in accordance with Ramteke K.H et al which reported the drug was homogeneously loaded in particles using cold homogenization technique.<sup>(18)</sup>

Figure 3 represents the cumulative percentage of drug released from all formulations after 2, 8, 48, and 72 hours, graphically.



Figure 3. Profile of release drug from all formulations

### Differential Scanning Calorimetery

DSC gives data from thermal behavior including melting and recrystallization of the solid lipids in SLNs. Various lipids have different melting points and enthalpies. The crystallinity degree of nanoparticles decreases with increasing liquid lipid ratio in the particles.<sup>(23)</sup> The liquid oil existing in lipid matrix is the main factor lowering the crystallinity and increasing the less-ordered structure of SLNs.

Table 2 presents the DSC results for SLN formulations through both heating and cooling manners.

| Formulation | Δн      |         | Phase Transitional Temp. |         |
|-------------|---------|---------|--------------------------|---------|
| No.         | Cooling | Heating | Cooling                  | Heating |
| 1           | 115.4   | -471    | -25                      | 114     |
| 2           | 80.9    | -709.3  | -29                      | 101     |
| 3           | 216.3   | -132.72 | -23                      | 90      |
| 4           | 46.1    | -584.2  | -31                      | 117     |
| 5           | 30.148  | -224.1  | -35                      | 114     |
| 6           | 442     | -425.28 | -15                      | 123     |
| 7           | 85.18   | -872.2  | -24                      | 118     |
| 8           | 65.8    | -616.07 | -22                      | 115     |
| 9           | 90.6    | -656.8  | -23                      | 110     |
| 10          | 32.8    | -264.78 | -29                      | 119     |
| 11          | 44.5    | -578.23 | -26                      | 70      |
| 12          | 58.83   | -580    | -25                      | 83      |
| 13          | 56.89   | -420.7  | -29                      | 68      |
| 14          | 87.7    | -451.1  | -24                      | 90      |
| 15          | 72.23   | -541.13 | -29                      | 72      |
| 16          | 64.23   | -870.4  | -32                      | 110     |

 Table 2. DSC results of SLN formulations

As shown in heating program, the phase transitional temperature of 68 °C, the lowest one, belongs to formulation No. 13 containing cholesterol and lower level of LL/SL ratio. The highest one, 123 °C, belongs to No. 6 which consisted of Compritol and the higher level of LL/SL ratio. This result is compatible with loading results. According to Chia-Lang Fang and et al, the decline of enthalpy and reduction of the melting point of the lipids occur in the SLNs with smaller size, higher surface area and a greater number of emulsifiers.<sup>(23)</sup> Figure 4 represents 2 samples of DSC thermograms for formulation No. 8.



Figure 4. DSC Thermograms of formulation No. 8: a. heating, b. cooling

# Discussion

The present study was designed to prepare a topical formulation of deferoxamine based on solid lipid nanoparticles. Such formulation can be used on diabetic foot ulcers to improve angiogenesis by removing iron ions and hence avoid the side effects of oral or repeated injectable deferoxamine as well as overcome the problem of short plasma half-life and poor bioavailability of the drug. Selection of SLNs for drug delivery was based on their ability to protect the drug from destructive environmental factors and provide sustained-release condition. Despite numerous benefits of SLNs, one of their disadvantages may be the low loading capacity due to the crystalline structure of solid lipids. The use of oleic acid, as results showed, overcame the drawback because of its less-ordered structure. Comperitol, compared to conventional solid lipids, has a higher melting point so that formulations containing Comperitol released the drug in a sustained manner. According to many reports, the minimized particle size and narrow size distribution can be obtained by applying high pressure homogenization technique.<sup>(14,22)</sup>

reports. On the other hand, the application of cold homogenization technique was according to Rainer et al study in which the cold method was suggested for hydrophilic drugs to avoid partitioning the drug between the melted lipid and water phase during the hot homogenization. Relative high loading of deferoxamine in all formulations confirmed the suggestion. During cold homogenization method propylene glycol helps particles be spherical so provide the highest surface areas required for better loading and release of drug. It also increases loading capacity by preventing the loss of hydrophilic drug due to partitioning the drug into the water phase.<sup>(12)</sup>

# Conclusion

deferoxamine mesylate, as a strong chelating agent, is used to remove excess iron from the body in poisoning cases and thalassemia patients. Since it must be injected repeatedly, so its adverse effects are unavoidable. There are some reports in which the beneficial effects of this drug on diabetic ulcers have introduced when applied locally. On the other hand, administration the drug using conventional dosage forms cannot provide a slow-release condition to avoid repeated use. According to the purpose of the study and considering all the results obtained for different formulations, formulation No. 13, which has a high level of drug loading and the lowest drug release rate, can be introduced as the best formulation. This formulation contained compritol and oleic acid in the amount of 8% of the total formula, as well as tween 80 and lecithin as a mixture of surfactants. This study showed that deferoxamine may be loaded in solid lipid nanoparticles to provide desired conditions. However, this requires evaluating drug-loaded SLNs in ex-vivo and in-vivo conditions to achieve other properties such as permeation capability through intact and ulcered skin.

### Acknowledgment

The authors thank Vice Chancellor for Research Affairs at AJUMS for financial supports.

## References

**1.** Yih-Kuen Jan, Shen Sa, Robert D. Foreman, William J. Ennis. Skin blood flow response to locally applied mechanical and thermal stresses in the diabetic foot. Microvasc Res. 2013; 89: 40–46. Doi10.1016/j. mvr.2013.05.004

**2.** Dominik D, Evgenios N, Victor W. Wong, Zeshaan N. Maan, Robert C. Rennert, Inayathullah M, et al. Transdermal deferoxamine prevents pressure-induced diabetic ulcers. PNAS 6, 2015; 112 (1): 94-99. Doi:10.1073/pnas.1413445112.

3. Iranian National Formulary, 4th Edition, Spring 86, 2005. 6 p. [Persian]

**4.** David B. Hom, George S. Goding, Jr, Julie A. Price, Kerri J. Pernell, Robert H. Maisel. The effects of conjugated deferoxamine in porcine skin flap. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Head Neck 2000; 22: 579-84.

**5.** Dragsten PR, Hallaway PE, Hanson GJ, Berger AE, Bernard B, and Hedlund Bo E. First human studies with a high-molecular-weight iron chelator. J Lab Clin Med 2000; 57-65.

**6.** Lazaridou M, Christodoulou E, Nerantzaki M, Kostoglou M, Dimitra A Lambropoulou, Katsarou A, et al. Formulation and In-Vitro Characterization of Chitosan-Nanoparticles Loaded with the Iron Chelator Deferoxamine Mesylate (DFO). Pharmaceutics 2020; 12: 238. doi: 10.3390/pharmaceutics12030238.

**7.** Hejazi S, Safari O, Arjmand R, Qorbani M, Pourrostami K, Safari AM, et al. Effect of Combined versus Monotherapy with Deferoxamine and Deferiprone in Iron Overloaded Thalassemia Patients: a Randomized Clinical Trial. Int J Pediatr. 2016; 4 (6): 1959-65.

**8.** Thanh D, Tecilazich F, Kafanas A, Doupis J, Gnardellis C, Leal E, et al. Mechanisms Involved in the Development and Healing of Diabetic Foot Ulceration. Diabetes. 2012; 61: 2937-47. doi:10.2337/db12-0227

**9.** Warren Clayton JR, Tom AE. A review of the pathophysiology, classification, and treatment of foot ulcers in diabetic patients. American Diabetes Association (R) Inc 2009.

**10.** Küchler S, Radowski Michal R, Blaschke T, Dathe M, Plendl J, Haag R, et al. Nanoparticles for skin penetration enhancement – A comparison of a dendritic core-multishell-nanotransporter and solid lipid nanoparticles Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2009; 71: 243-50. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2008.08.019.

**11.** Mehnert W, Mader K. Solid lipid nanoparticles Production, characterization and applications. Ad Drug Del Rev. 2012; 47: 165-96. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2012.09.021

**12.** Rainer H. Mu<sup>°</sup>ller, Ma<sup>°</sup>der K, Gohla S. Solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN) for controlled drug delivery – a review of the state of the art. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2000; (50): 161–77.

**13.** Jee J-P, Lim S-J, Jeong-Sook Park, Chong-Kook Kim. Stabilization of all-trans retinol by loading lipophilic antioxidants in solid lipid nanoparticles. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2006; 63: 134-39. doi:10.1016/j. ejpb.2005.12.007.

**14.** Wissing SA, Kayser O, Mu<sup>¨</sup>ller RH. Solid lipid nanoparticles for parenteral drug delivery. Adv Drug Deliv. Rev. 2004; 56: 1257-72. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2003.12.002.

**15.** Wissing SA, Müller RH. Cosmetic applications for solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN). Int J Pharm. 2003; 254: 65-68. doi:10.1016/S0378-5173(02)00684-1.

**16.** Weiss J, Decker Eric A, McClements D Julian, Kristbergsson K, Helgason T, Awad T. Solid Lipid Nanoparticles as Delivery Systems for Bioactive Food Components. Food Biophysics 2008; 3: 146-54. doi:10.1007/s11483-008-9065-8.

**17.** Coppert TM, Muller RH. Adsorption kinetics of plasma proteins on solid lipid nanoparticles for drug targeting. Int J Pharm. 2005; 302: 172-86. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2005.06.025.

**18.** Ramteke K.H, Joshi SA, Dhole SN. Solid Lipid Nanoparticle: A Review. IOSR J Pharmacy. 2012; 2 (6): 34-44.

**19.** Sanaa A. El-Gizawya, Ahmed Nouhb, Sameh Saberc, Ahmed Y. Kira. Deferoxamine-loaded transfersomes accelerates healing of pressure ulcers in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. JDDST. 2020; 58: 101732. doi:10.1016/j.jddst.2020.101732

**20.** Si-Qian G, Chen Ch, Jun-Jun L, Ying L, Xiao-Qian N, Dan-Ping Zh, et al. Co-delivery of deferoxamine and hydroxysafflor yellow A to accelerate diabetic wound healing via enhanced angiogénesis. Drug Deliv. 2018; 25 (1): 1779-89. doi:10.1080/10717544.2018.1513608

**21.** Muller-Goymann CC. Physicochemical characterization of colloidal drug delivery systems such as reverse micelles, vesicles, liquid crystals and nanoparticles for topical administration. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2004; 58: 343-56. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2004.03.028.

**22.** Mukherjee S, Ray S, Thakur RS. Solid Lipid Nanoparticles: A Modern Formulation Approach in Drug Delivery System. Indian J Pharm Sci. 2009;71(4): 349-58.

**23.** Fanga CL, Al-Suwayehb SA, Fang JY. Nanostructured Lipid Carriers (NLCs) for Drug Delivery and Targeting. Recent Pat Nanotechnol. 2013; 7: 41-55.

BY-NC-SA 4.0