RESUMEN

Objetivo: La presente investigación tuvo como objetivo desarrollar y optimizar las microesponjas de nitrato de miconazol para el tratamiento de la dermatitis del pañal para un efecto terapéutico mejorado.

Material y métodos: Las microesponjas fueron desarrolladas por emulsión técnica de difusión del disolvente usando un diseño factorial $2^3$. Las microesponjas fabricadas han sido optimizadas con el fin de analizar los efectos de las variables independientes sobre la eficacia de encapsulación, tamaño de partícula, la topografía de la superficie y en la liberación de fármaco in vitro. A continuación, la formulación optimizada se incorporó en un gel y se evaluó.

Resultados: Se encontró que el tamaño de partículas de todas las formulaciones fue uniforme y la microscopía electrónica de barrido (SEM) indicó forma esférica y de naturaleza porosa de las microesponjas. En la liberación del fármaco, estudios in vitro de todas las formulaciones, revelaron la velocidad de liberación dentro de un intervalo de 67%±0,09% a 80,6%±0,68% al cabo de 12 horas. Con esta base, la formulación F8 fue seleccionada y se incorporó en el gel (CF8) en el que se evaluó el pH, viscosidad, capacidad de extensión, estudios de difusión in vitro del fármaco, estudios in vitro anti hongos y estudios de estabilidad.

Conclusión: El gel a base de microesponja formulado de nitrato de miconazol sería un sustituto adecuado al tratamiento tradicional para la curación fiable y económica de la dermatitis del pañal.

Palabras clave: Microsponge, Liberación de fármacos, Eudragit RS100, Nitrato de miconazol, Dermatitis del pañal.

ABSTRACT

Aim: The current investigation was aimed to develop and optimize the microsponges of miconazole nitrate for treatment of diaper dermatitis for enhanced therapeutic effect.

Material and Methods: Microsponges were developed by emulsion solvent diffusion technique using $2^3$ factorial design. Fabricated microsponges were optimized in order to analyze the effects of independent variables on the encapsulation efficiency, particle size, surface topography and in vitro drug release. The optimized formulation was then incorporated into the gel and evaluated.

Results: Particle size of all formulations was found to be uniform and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) indicates spherical shape and porous nature of microsponges. In vitro drug release studies of all formulations revealed the release rate within the range of 67%±0.09 to 80.6%±0.68 at the end of 12 hours. On its basis, formulation F8 was selected and incorporated into the gel (CF8) which was evaluated for pH, viscosity, spreadability, in vitro drug diffusion studies, in vitro anti fungal studies and stability studies.

Conclusion: The formulated microsponge-based gel of miconazole nitrate would be a capable substitute to traditional treatment for reliable and economical cure of diaper dermatitis.

Key Words: Microsponge delivery system, Eudragit RS100, Miconazole Nitrate, Topical Drug Delivery, yeast diaper dermatitis.
INTRODUCTION
Numerous drug delivery systems have been developed for the drugs as transdermal delivery system (TDS) using the skin as doorway for entrance. Despite of improved efficacy of drug delivery, TDS is not realistic for the delivery of actives whose final target is skin itself\(^1\). Drug controlled release onto epidermis asserts that the drug remains primarily localized and does not enter the systemic circulation in significant amounts. Many conventional formulations such as ointments, gels etc. require high concentrations of drug for an effective therapy, which results in great number of side effects. Thus, it becomes essential to boost the amount of time that a drug remains on skin surface or epidermis and simultaneously decreasing its transdermal penetration into the body\(^2\).

The microsponge delivery system (MDS) satisfies these constraints. MDS is a distinctive technology comprises of 10-100um diameter drug loaded microporous beads which aids in controlled delivery of drugs \(^1\). Rubbing, moisture, pH, friction, or ambient skin temperature are triggering factors for the controlled release of drug from microsponges by diffusion through skin. Microsponges has the facility to load wide range of active ingredients like essential oils, fragrances, anti infectives, antifungals, etc \(^1\).

Topical fungal infections are among the most common skin disorders and occur in both healthy and immune compromised persons. Dermatophytes, yeasts and non-dermatophyte molds cause them. For the new borns and toddlers, diaper rash or yeast diaper dermatitis is a widespread problem which develops on the bums\(^3\). Yeast is a fungus that lives on the skin and in the intestines, and when it gets a warm and moist environment in the diaper area, it causes rash. To treat these rashes, antifungal drugs loaded creams and ointments are prescribed which have problems of conventional topical drug delivery system like high dose for effective therapy and high dosing frequency \(^4\).

Miconazole nitrate provides relief from the symptoms of diaper dermatitis. Infants having more than 4 weeks of age are given maximum treatment up to 7 days followed by application of lubricants or emollients as protective layer \(^5\). Miconazole Nitrate inhibits ergosterol synthesis that results in increased cellular permeability, causing leakage of cellular contents. Other antifungal effects of azole compounds have been proposed and include inhibition of endogenous respiration, interaction with membrane phospholipids, and inhibition of yeast transformation to mycelial forms \(^6\). Thus, the aim of present investigation is to develop miconazole nitrate loaded microsponges delivery system with an aim to reduce the dose and dosing frequency associated with conventional topical drug delivery system and achieve the therapeutic objective. The fabricated microsponges will be characterized with respect to particle size, surface morphology, drug entrapment efficiency, in vitro diffusion studies, in vitro antifungal studies, release kinetics and stability studies.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
Materials
Miconazole nitrate was a kind gift from Basic Pharma Life Sciences Pvt. Ltd., Gujarat. Eudragit RS 100, Polyvinyl Alcohol, Dichloromethane and ethanol was purchased from S.D fine Chem Ltd. All solvents are of analytical grade.

Methodology
Statistical Experimental Design for formulation Optimization
A full factorial design consists of two or more factors, each with discrete possible values or «levels», and whose experimental units take on all possible combinations of these levels across all such factors. A common experimental design is one with all input factors set at two levels each. These levels are called ‘high’ and ‘low’ or ‘1’ and ‘0’, respectively. A design with all possible high/low combinations of all the input factors is called a full factorial design in two levels \(^6\). If there are \(k\) factors, each at 2 levels, a full factorial design has \(2^k\) Factorial design. \(2^3\) imply 8 runs as shown in Table 1.

Preparation of Miconazole nitrate loaded Microsponges
Microsponges were prepared by quasi emulsion solvent diffusion as shown in Table 2. The required amount of miconazole nitrate and Eudragit RS100 polymer were weighed accurately and dissolved in 5ml of Dichloromethane: Ethanol (1:1) under sonication. Polyvinyl alcohol was weighed accurately and dissolved in distilled water at 60°C. The surfactant was allowed to cool to room temperature. The internal phase containing miconazole nitrate and eudragit RS100 was added drop wise with the aid of syringe with stirring at 800 rpm until the complete diffusion of the external phase i.e. about 8 h. After complete diffusion of the external phase, the microsponges were filtered and dried overnight at room temperature as shown in Figure 1. \(^11\)

CHARACTERIZATION OF MICONAZOLE NITRATE MICROSPONGES
Particle Size and Size Distribution Analysis
The particle size was determined using an optical microscope. The microscope was fitted with a stage micrometer to calibrate the eyepiece micrometer \(^13\). The values were...
given for the formulations in the form of mean particle size range.

One division of stage micrometer $= 0.01\; \text{mm} = 10\; \mu\text{m}$.

$C = SM \times \frac{10}{EM}$

Where,

$C =$ correction factor;

$SM =$ reading of stage micrometer which coincides with reading of eyepiece micrometer (EM).

The particle diameter of around 100 particles was measured.

The average particle size was determined using the following formula:

$D_{\text{mean}} = \frac{\sum nd}{\sum n}$

Where, $n =$ number of microsponges observed and $d =$ mean size range.

Each formulation was observed three times and average of three trials was calculated.

**Shape and Surface Morphology of Microsponges**

Shape and surface morphology of miconazole microsponges was visualized by scanning electron microscopy (LEO-430 Cambridge and U.K). Samples were prepared by lightly sprinkling microsponges on a double adhesive tape, on an aluminum stub. The stubs were then coated with gold to a thickness of 200 to 500 Å under an argon atmosphere using gold sputter module in a high vacuum evaporator [14]. The samples were then randomly scanned and photomicrographs taken at different magnifications with SEM.

**Drug entrapment efficiency**

The entrapment efficiency and drug loaded microsponges were estimated by dissolving 50 mg of microspheres in 0.01 N HCl. The samples were analyzed using UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV 1800) at a wavelength 272 nm. Entrapment efficiency was calculated in triplicate using the following equations [15]:

Drug Entrapment Efficiency (%) = \frac{\text{Weight of drug in microspheres}}{\text{Weight of drug fed initially}} \times 100

**Production Yield**

For calculating production yield, theoretical mass was calculated initially by taking the weight of excipients. The production yield of the microsponges was determined accurately by calculating the initial weight of the excipients and the final weight of the formulation obtained [16]. Production Yield was calculated in triplicate using the following equation.

Production yield = \frac{\text{Practical mass of microsponges}}{\text{Theoretical mass}} \times 100

**In Vitro Drug Release Studies**

The drug release studies of miconazole nitrate loaded microsponges were carried out using basket dissolution apparatus (USP Type I apparatus). 900ml of fresh phosphate buffer saline (PBS pH 5.5) was used for the release studies. The temperature of the dissolution medium was controlled at 32±1°C with 150 rpm rotation speed. Microsponges equivalent to 50 mg of miconazole nitrate were weighed. At fixed time intervals, aliquots of samples were withdrawn and replaced by an equal volume of fresh dissolution medium to maintain the sink conditions. After suitable dilution, the samples were analyzed spectrophotometrically at 272 nm (Shimadzu UV-1208) against blank.

The release studies were carried out for 12 h. [17]. Based on the evaluation characteristics, the optimized formulation of each polymer was incorporated into suitable gel bases and evaluated further. All the readings were repeated three times.

**Preparation of Miconazole Nitrate Microsponges loaded Topical gel**

Gel formulation was developed using carbopol® 934 as gelling agent. The accurately weight quantity of carbopol® 934 (1 % w/v) was dispersed in beakers containing adequate amount of water under constant stirring and allowed to hydrate for 24 h at room temperature. Later, glycerine and optimized microsponges formulation containing miconazole nitrate was incorporated into the carbopol gel with the help of mechanical stirrer at 25 rpm. Then, water was added to gel under constant stirring. The dispersion was neutralized using triethanolamine (0.5 % w/w) [18]. The gel was allowed to stand overnight to remove entrapped air. The formulation was transferred to a suitable container and stored for further studies.

**EVALUATION OF MICROSPONGES LOADED GEL FORMULATIONS:**

The prepared gels were evaluated for different parameters such as pH, appearance, viscosity, spreadability, drug content and drug release, in vitro antifungal activity and stability studies with the aim of checking the efficacy of microsponges loaded gel formulations.
Appearance

The prepared gel bases were inspected visually for clarity, colour and presence of any particles. Transparent gel was found in microsponges loaded carbopol gel.

pH

1g of microsponges loaded gel formulation was dissolved in 100 ml water and the pH was determined with the help of digital pH meter [19]. All the gels were tested for pH three times and average of three determinations was calculated.

Rheological Studies

Spreadability:

The spreadability studies of microsponges loaded gel formulations were carried out by keeping gel between two horizontal glass slides of standard dimension. 100 g weight was placed on top of the two slides so that the formulation gets uniformly spread. The weight was removed and excess formulation was scraped out [20]. The experiment was carried out in triplicate.

\[ S = m \cdot l / t \]

Where,

- \( m \) = weight kept on the upper slide
- \( l \) = length of glass slide
- \( t \) = time taken in seconds.

Viscosity:

Viscosity of microsponges loaded gel formulation was determined using Brookfield viscometer with spindle No. 6 at 10 rpm at temperature 37±0.5°C [21].

Drug Content

One gram of gel formulation containing drug equivalent to 10 mg of miconazole nitrate was extracted and the volume was made up to 50 ml with ethanol. The resulting solution was filtered. Suitable dilutions of the filtrate were prepared with filtered phosphate buffer pH 5.5 and absorbance was measured at 272 nm using UV spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1208). All readings were taken in triplicate and average was calculated [22].

In vitro Drug Diffusion Studies

In vitro diffusion study was conducted using Keshary-Chien (K-C) cell with an effective diffusion area of 2.0 cm² and a cell volume of 25 mL. Phosphate Buffer Saline (pH 5.5) was used as dissolution medium, and system was thermoregulated with a water jacket at 37±1 °C under constant stirring. The cellophane membrane (0.45 μm) previously soaked overnight in dissolution medium was mounted onto K-C cell. Microsponges loaded gel formulation was assessed for diffusion study. 1ml of sample aliquots were withdrawn at predetermined time intervals and subsequently replenished with an equal amount of phosphate buffer. The samples were filtered, diluted and analyzed using UV spectrophotometer at 272nm against blank [23]. The release studies were carried out for 10 h and the release data were analyzed by means of diverse mathematical models to know release kinetics.

In vitro Antifungal Study

For antifungal studies, sabouraud’s dextrose agar was utilized. The media was taken in a 250 ml conical flask and dissolved in 100 ml of distilled water. The pH was adjusted to 5.6 ± 0.2. The medium was sterilized in an autoclave at 15 lbs (121°C) for 15 min. After sterilization, the medium was allowed to cool down at room temperature and poured into presterilized petridishes inside a laminar airflow unit with layer of uniform thickness. Medium filled petridishes were kept in laminar airflow unit for solidification, after which a loop of diluted suspension culture (Candida albicans) in nutrient broth was added on to the surface of solidified agar and was spread uniformly with the help of spreader. Culture was then stabilized, subsequent to which 6mm diameter cups were punched using sterile cork borer and scraped out from the petridish [24].

Microsponges loaded gel formulation (equivalent to 0.25% miconazole nitrate) and marketed formulation of miconazole nitrate was fed into the cup separately. The petridishes were then incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. After incubation the zone of inhibition was measured.

Release Kinetics

Data obtained from release studies of gels was subjected to kinetic treatment such as zero order drug release, first order drug release, Higuchi’s square root plot and Korsmeyer-Peppas model to obtain the order of release and release mechanism [25].

Stability studies

Optimized gel formulation was subjected to stability testing as per ICH guidelines. Gel was filled in clean, glass vials and various replicates were kept at 5°C, 25°C and 40°C/75% RH in a humidity chamber for a period of 60 days [26]. Gel was assessed for change in appearance and drug content at an interval of 7, 15, 30, 45 and 60 days.
RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Miconazole nitrate loaded microsponges were successfully formulated using quasi-emulsion solvent diffusion technique. The technique used for the fabrication is very simple, replicable and quick.[10]. Eudragit RS100 and polyvinyl alcohol were used as microsponges forming polymer and surfactant respectively. 2^3 factorial design was applied for the optimization of formulation parameters and process variables. Prior to application of factorial design, a broad range optimization was carried out so as to widely select the parameters for further experimentation.

Different concentrations of polymer viz. 100 to 400mg were chosen for its optimization and keeping other parameters constant like surfactant 0.75% w/v and 800 rpm stirring speed. Out of all concentration, 300mg polymeric concentration showed spherical porous microsponges with good entrapment efficiency 79.6%±0.23 and 66.7±0.17 μm particle size as shown in Figure 2. Thus, it was selected for further optimization. The type and concentration of surfactant has a key role to play in the preparation of microsponges. By keeping other parameters constant (polymer 300mg and stirring speed 800rpm) and varying the concentration of surfactant from 0.5% to 1.5 % w/v, the particle size also varied from 66.43μm to 92.54 μm. The minimum concentration of surfactant required to bring about the formation of uniform microsponges was found to be 0.75% w/v as illustrated in Figure 3. Stirring speed also played a crucial role in the formation of microsponges with reduced particle size. Likewise varying stirring speed was selected viz. 100, 400, 800, 1200 rpm and keeping other parameters (polymer 300mg and surfactant 0.75% w/v) constant. The results showed formulation prepared with 800 rpm stirring speed showed smallest particle size and good entrapment efficiency 65.25%±0.34 as shown in Figure 4. Thus, 300mg polymer concentration, 0.75%w/v surfactant concentration and 800rpm stirring speed were selected for the further optimization with the aid of factorial design.

The 2^3 factorial design enabled the selection of optimized formulation. Three factors (viz. polymer concentration, surfactant concentration and stirring speed) and two levels (low (0) & high (1)) were selected as shown in Table 1, as these are most important factors (independent variables), which can affect particle size, entrapment efficiency and in vitro drug release (dependent variables).

The mean particle size of microsponges was found to be in the range of 10.32μm±0.32 to 98.42±0.71μm. Visual assessment of all formulations was done using optical microscope, which clearly indicates that as the particle size increased with increase in the concentration of polymer. It may be due to additional presence of polymer in solution, which in turns increases, the wall thickness resulting larger microsponges. Furthermore, increasing amount of polymer causes increase in apparent viscosity at augmented polymeric concentrations. Consecutively, bigger emulsion droplets formed and size of microsponges increases. Formulation F8 showed minimum particle size 66.42±0.31 μm which may be due to optimum concentration of polymer and surfactant. High stirring speed results in smallest particle size that is stabilized by surfactant.

For morphology and surface topography investigation, fabricated microsponges were subjected to SEM analysis. The captured SEM image of microsponges is shown in Figure 5. It was observed that the obtained microsponges were substantially porous, predominantly spherical and have uniform outline. Highly Porous morphology may be attributed due to diffusion of solvent from microsponges surface. The findings of entrapment efficiency were found to be in the range of 50.18%±0.23 to 83.5% ± 0.91 for all the eight formulations. Likewise, entrapment efficiency increases due to increase in polymer concentration. Highest entrapment was found to be 83.5% ± 0.91 which was shown by F8 formulation due to optimum polymer and surfactant concentration.

The production yield of all eight formulations ranged from 78.66%± 0.10 to 87.5%± 0.91. The polymer concentration was found to have a significant effect on production yield. Figure 6. High polymer concentration reduces the dichloromethane diffusion rate from viscous polymeric solution to aqueous phase that provides more time for droplet formation and thus yield improves.

In vitro drug release studies were successfully done using basket type apparatus and the cumulative percentage drug release at the end of 12 hrs was noted to be 67.5%±0.09 to 80.6%±0.68. Maximum release was shown by F8 formulation i.e. 80.6%±0.68. The cumulative release profiles displayed a bi-phasic release with an initial burst effect i.e. 3.8±0.12 in 0.25 h to 26.7±0.29 in 2h followed by sustained release as shown in Figure 7. Drug release decreases with an increase in polymer concentration.

On the basis of factorial design, miconazole nitrate microsponge formulation F8 was found to be optimized for further gel formulation. Gel was successfully formulated using 1%w/v carbopol® 934 as gelling agent, glycerin as smoothening agent and triethanolamine as cross-linking agent.

Gel was found transparent, uniform with no presence of air molecules. The pH values of all formulations were found in the range of 5.7-5.8, which are supposed to be suitable to
pass up threat of nuisance on application to skin. The outcome of spreadability illustrated that formulated gels get easily spread on applying small amount of shear. Spreadability of gel containing microsponges was found to be 2.54g.cm/s; signifying that spreadability of drug loaded microsponge gel was good. The viscosity of prepared miconazole nitrate loaded microsponge gel was found to be 38,200 cPs. The viscosity was found to be dependent on polymeric content of formulation. In vitro diffusion studies of microsponges loaded gel was conducted in phosphate buffer saline (pH 6.8) using K-C cell upto 12 h. sAccording to the results obtained, it was noted that, the cumulative drug diffused at the end of 10 hrs was 82.78%± 0.91. Results of cumulative drug diffused were subjected to release kinetics models that portrayed that the formulation follows higuchi release (r²=0.982). To confirm the exact mechanism of drug release, the data were fitted according to Korse-meyer-Peppas equation. The value of N = 0.86 which shows non-fickian in nature as shown in Table 3. Optimized microsponges formulation (F8) loaded carbopol gel showed maximum zone of inhibition of 17mm as compared to marketed formulation (15mm) of miconazole nitrate.

Stability studies results of optimized microsponges formulation loaded carbopol gel displayed no significant changes in the physical appearance and drug content which clearly suggest that the formulations are stable at 5°C and 25°C. However, some drug degradation was observed at 40°C / 75 % RH as tabulated in Table 4.

CONCLUSION

For the existing era and future prospects, sustained drug delivery by means of polymer based systems has been recommended to exist owing to frequent probable benefits for technical and cost effective grounds. The notion behind the development of polymer based microsponge delivery system was to release the active in a recurrent approach for wide time period to cut the dosing frequency and to improve bioavailability. The technique executed was emulsion solvent diffusion that was found to be simple, reproducible and rapid. Fabricated microsponges were spherical in shape and have porous texture. Microsponge based gel reflected higuchi release kinetics and controlled by non-fickian release mechanism. Thus, gel comprising microsponges was found as an potential drug delivery system presenting prolonged release of miconazole nitrate in the treatment of diaper dermatitis.
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Table 1: 2³ Factorial Design for Miconazole nitrate microsponges formulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Eudragit RS 100 (mg)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Polyvinyl Alcohol (%w/v)</td>
<td>0.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Stirring Speed (rpm)</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Formulation table for development of miconazole nitrate microsponges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation Code</th>
<th>(A)</th>
<th>(B)</th>
<th>(C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>0 (300)</td>
<td>0 (0.75%)</td>
<td>0 (600)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>0 (300)</td>
<td>1 (1.0%)</td>
<td>1 (800)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>1 (350)</td>
<td>0 (0.75%)</td>
<td>0 (600)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>1 (350)</td>
<td>1 (1.0%)</td>
<td>1 (800)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>1 (350)</td>
<td>0 (0.75%)</td>
<td>1 (800)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6</td>
<td>0 (300)</td>
<td>1 (1.0%)</td>
<td>0 (600)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F7</td>
<td>1 (350)</td>
<td>1 (1.0%)</td>
<td>0 (600)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8</td>
<td>0 (300)</td>
<td>0 (0.75%)</td>
<td>1 (800)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Release Kinetics Assessment of Optimised Miconazole Nitrate Loaded Microsponges Carbopol Gel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation code</th>
<th>Zero-order</th>
<th>First order</th>
<th>Higuchi type</th>
<th>Korsemeyer-peppas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r²</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>r²</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF8</td>
<td>0.9568</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Stability Studies data for Optimised Miconazole Nitrate Loaded Microsponges Carbopol Gel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Time (Days)</th>
<th>5°C ± 2°C</th>
<th>25°C ± 2°C</th>
<th>40°C/75%RH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Physical Appearance</td>
<td>Drug Content</td>
<td>Physical Appearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>99.95 ± 0.12</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>99.92 ± 0.16</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>99.87 ± 0.05</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>99.80 ± 0.56</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>99.75 ± 0.23</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(+++ indicates no change, ++ indicates slight change, + indicates significant change)
Figure 1. Schematic Diagram illustrating formation of Microsponges using Quasi-emulsion diffusion technique

- Internal phase
  - Miconazole Nitrate + Eudragit RS 100 + (Dichloromethane + Ethanol (1:1))
- External phase
  - Distilled water
  - Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)
- Polymer solution of the drug were solidified in the aqueous phase
- Formed microsponges were filtered and washed with distilled water

Figure 2: Optimization of polymer Concentration for microsponges formulation
Figure 3: Optimization of Surfactant Concentration for microsponges formulation

Figure 4: Optimization of Stirring speed concentration for microsponges formulation
Figure 5: SEM Photomicrograph of Miconazole Nitrate Loaded Microsponges

Figure 6: Drug Entrapment Efficiency and production Yield of All microsponges formulations

Figure 7: Cumulative In vitro drug release profiles of all eight microsponges formulations